UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,364	02/07/2007	Peter Rozim	0182-00018	6050
26659 RAGGIO & DI	7590 05/13/200 NNIN. P.C.	8	EXAMINER	
2701 CAMBRI	DGE COURT, STE. 4	10	MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J	
AUBURN HILLS, MI 48326			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/562,364	ROZIM, PETER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marguerite J. McMahon	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·		3 3. 3 . 2 . 3.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi (JP01232156A) in view of Bolasny (3,878,469). Takahashi shows a method for reducing emission and fuel consumption in order to improve combustion in internal combustion engines, whereas the fuel and air are lead through a treatment area in which by applying high voltage, the air stream is provided with a charge of a first polarity and the fuel stream a charge of the opposite polarity. Takahashi shows everything except vibrating at least one of the air and fuel stream by a frequency in the ultrasonic range utilizing an ultrasound generator, the air or fuel stream being vibrated in several successive or parallel sections, the range of vibration being in the range of 35 to 45 KHz. Bolasny teaches that it is old in the art to employ an ultrasound generator 33 to provide variable vibration in the range of 38 to 58 kHz to the air. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Takahashi by employing an ultrasound generator to provide variable vibration in the range of 38 to 58 kHz to the air, in order to improve combustion characteristics. In addition, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide additional vibration sections in series or parallel, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a

Art Unit: 3747

device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi (JP01232156A) in view of Bolasny (3,878,469) as applied to claims 1-9 and 13-14 above, and further in view of Child et al (4,344,404). Takahashi in view of Bolasny show everything except utilizing a piezoelectric transducer 53 connected to ultrasound generator 13 to provide vibration to the fuel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Takahashi in view of Bolasny by providing ultrasonic vibration to the fuel means, in order to improve combustion characteristics.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marguerite J. McMahon whose telephone number is 571-272-4848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday and Friday, 10am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Cronin can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,364 Page 4

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marguerite McMahon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3747

/Marguerite McMahon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3747